BELARUS NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
B.I. STEPANOV's INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS

arXiv:hep-th/0306286v1 28 Jun 2003

A.A. Bogush, V.V. Kisel, N.G. Tokarevskaya, V.M. Red'kov[1]

ON EQUATIONS FOR A SPIN-2 PARTICLE IN EXTERNAL GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

30-component, of the first order, equation for a spin 2 particle, equivalent to the second order Pauli-Fierz one, is generalized to presence of an external electromagnetic field as well as a curved background space-time geometry. The essential property of the generally covariant wave equation obtained is that here from the very beginning, in accordance with requirement of the Pauli-Fierz approach, a set of additional relations on 30-component wave function for eliminating complementary spin 0 and spin 1 fields is present at the starting equation.

---

[1] E-mail: redkov@dragon.bas-net.by

Introduction

The theory of the massive spin-2 field has received much attention over the years since the initial construction of a a Lagrangian formulation by Fierz and Pauli [1-2]. The original Fierz-Pauli theory for spin was second order in derivatives ∂_α (and involved scalar and tensor auxiliary fields). It is highly satisfactory as long as we restrict ourselves to a free particle case. However this approach turned out not to be so good at considering spin-2 theory in presence an external electromagnetic field. Federbush [3] showed that to avoid a loss of constrains problem . the minimal coupling had to be supplemented by a direct non-minimal to the electromagnetic field strength. There followed a number of works on modification or generalizations of the Fierz-Pauli theory (Rivers [4], Nath [5], Bhargava and Watanabe [6], Tait [7], Reilly [8]). At the same time interest in general high-spin fields was generated by the discovery of the now well-known inconsistency problems of Johnson and Sudarshan [9] and Velo and Zwanzinger [10]. In the course of investigating their acausality problems for other then 3/2, Velo-Zwanzinger rediscovered the spin-2 loss of constrains problem, but were not at first aware of the non-minimal term solution of it. (Velo [11]) later made a thorough analysis of the external field problem for the 'correct' non-minimally coupled spin-2 theory, showing that it too is acausal.

All the work mentioned above dealt with a second-order formalism for the spin-2 theory. Much of the confusion which arose over this theory could be traced to the so-called "derivative ordering ambiguity (Naglal [12]). This problem can be avoided by working from the start with a first-order formalism (for example see Gel'fand et al [13]) and for which the minimal coupling procedure is unambiguous..

The work by Fedorov [14] was likely to be the first one where consistent investigation of the spin-2 theory in the framework of first-order theory was carried out in detail. The 30-component wave equation [14] referred to the so-called canonical basis, transition from which to the more familiar tensor formulation is possible but laborious task and it was not done in 14]. Subsequently the same 30-component theory was rediscovered and fundamentally elaborated in tensor-based approach by a number of authors (Regge [15], Schwinger [16], Chang [17], Hagen [18], Mathews et al [19], Cox [20]). Also a matrix formalism for the spin-2 theory was developed (Fedorod, Bogush, Krylov, Kisel [21-25]).

Concurrently else one theory for spin-2 particle was advanced that requires 50 field components (Adler [26], Deser et al [27], Fedorov and Krylov [28, 23], Cox [20.]). It appears to be more complicated, however some evident correlation between the corresponding massless theory and the non-linear gravitational equation is revealed (Fedorov [28]).

Possible connections between two variants of spin-2 theories have been investigated. Seemingly, the most clarity was achieved by Bogush and Kisel [25], who showed that 50-component equation in presence of an external electromagnetic field can be reduced to 30-component equation with additional interaction that must be interpreted as anomalous magnetic momentum term. Though this work was done in the framework of matrix formulation of the spinor technique (which make this paper difficult to follow) the main result was emphasized quite distinctly.

In the present work we will return to a 30-component theory and will investigate it in presence of both electromagnetic and gravitational fields. Gravitational fields are assumed to be described in terms of a curved space-time background. We are going to trace in detail how a generally covariant Fierz-Pauli theory can be derived from the generally covariant first-order wave equation (in presence of $A_\mu(x), g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ fields). Our chief aim is to elucidate the mechanism of resolving the problem of degrees of freedom in the 30-component theory. The most significant aspect of the theory under consideration is that it starts from a certain Lagrangian. This means that a presupposed set of additional conditions, constrains on 30 field constituents, turns out to be incorporated into the model from the very beginning, in accordance with the known Fierz-Pauli program [1,2].

1. Description of the massive spin-2 particle on the base of first-order formalism

Let us start off with the tensor equations [21]

$$A\partial^a\Phi_a = m\Phi, \tag{1.1a}$$

$$C\partial_a\Phi + B\partial^b\Phi_{ab} = m\Phi_a, \tag{1.1b}$$

$$E(\partial^k\Phi_{kab} + \partial^k\Phi_{kba} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\partial^k\Phi_{kn}{}^n) + N(\partial_a\Phi_b + \partial_b\Phi_a - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\partial^k\Phi_k) = m\Phi_{ab}, \tag{1.1c}$$

$$F[\partial_a\Phi_{bc} - \partial_b\Phi_{ac} + \frac{1}{3}(g_{bc}\partial^k\Phi_{ak} - g_{ac}\partial^k\Phi_{bk})] = m\Phi_{abc}, \tag{1.1d}$$

where $A, B, ...$ are some constants. From eq. (1.1c) it follows restrictions on Φ_{ab}:

$$\Phi_{ab} = +\Phi_{ba}, g^{ab}\Phi_{ab} = \Phi^{a}_{a} = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

In turn, eq. (1.1d) presupposes antisymmetry of Φ_{abc} over two first indices $\Phi_{abc} = -\Phi_{bac}$. Also, simplifying eq (1.1d) over indices b and c and remembering eq. (1.2), we get to

$$\Phi_{ab}{}^{b} = 0. \tag{1.3a}$$

In addition, eq. (1.1d) leads to

$$\Phi_{abc} + \Phi_{bca} + \Phi_{cab} = 0, \quad \text{или} \quad \epsilon^{kabc}\Phi_{abc} = 0 \tag{1.3b}$$

that means impossibility to reduce the 3-d rank tensor to a simpler form.

Thus, the total number of independent components in the used tensor set $\Phi, \Phi_a, \Phi_{ab}, \Phi_{abc}$ equals to 30:

$$\Phi(x) - 1, \Phi_a - 4, \Phi_{ab} - (10 - 1) = 9, \Phi_{abc} - (6 \times 4 - 4 - 4) = 16. \tag{1.4}$$

Now it should be noted that without loss in generality one may set the constants A and F equal to 1; this involves elementary converting

$$\frac{\Phi_{abc}}{F}, EF, \frac{\Phi}{A}, CA \qquad \text{into} \qquad \Phi_{abc}, E, \Phi, C$$

respectively. Correspondingly, instead of eqs. (1.1) further we will consider these

$$\partial^{a}\Phi_{a} = m\Phi, \tag{1.5a}$$

$$C\partial_{a}\Phi + B\partial^{b}\Phi_{ab} = m\Phi_{a}, \tag{1.5b}$$

$$E(\partial^{k}\Phi_{kab} + \partial^{k}\Phi_{kba}) + N(\partial_{a}\Phi_{b} + \partial_{b}\Phi_{a} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\partial^{k}\Phi_{k}) = m\Phi_{ab}, \tag{1.5c}$$

$$\partial_{a}\Phi_{bc} - \partial_{b}\Phi_{ac} + \frac{1}{3}(g_{bc}\partial^{k}\Phi_{ak} - g_{ac}\partial^{k}\Phi_{bk}) = m\Phi_{abc}. \tag{1.5d}$$

taking in mind the constrains

$$\Phi_{ab} = +\Phi_{ba}, \Phi^{a}_{a} = 0, \Phi_{abc} = -\Phi_{bac}, \Phi_{ab}{}^{b} = 0, \Phi_{abc} + \Phi_{bca} + \Phi_{cab} = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

The first step is to exclude the first and third rank tensors from eq. (1.5). To this end, with the use of (1.5b) one gets

$$E(\partial^{k}\Phi_{kab} + \partial^{k}\Phi_{kba}) =$$

$$= \frac{-E}{m}[\frac{4}{3}(\partial_{a}\partial^{k}\Phi_{kb} + \partial_{b}\partial^{k}\Phi_{ka}) - 2\partial^{k}\partial_{k}\Phi_{ab} - \frac{2}{3}g_{ab}\partial^{k}\partial^{n}\Phi_{kn})],$$

$$N[\partial_{a}\Phi_{b} + \partial_{b}\Phi_{a} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\partial^{k}\Phi_{k}] = \frac{N}{m}[2C(\partial_{a}\partial_{b} - \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}\partial^{k}\partial_{k})\Phi +$$

$$+B(\partial_{a}\partial^{k}\Phi_{kb} + \partial_{b}\partial^{k}\Phi_{ka} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\partial^{k}\partial^{n}\Phi_{kn})].$$

Taking these two relations into account, eq. (1.5c) reads as ($\nabla^{2} = \partial^{k}\partial_{k}$)

$$2CN(\partial_{a}\partial_{b} - \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}\nabla^{2})\Phi + 2E\nabla^{2}\Phi_{ab} + \tag{1.7}$$

$$+(NB - \frac{4}{3}E)(\partial_{a}\partial^{k}\Phi_{kb} + \partial_{b}\partial^{k}\Phi_{ka} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\partial^{k}\partial^{n}\Phi_{kn}) = m^{2}\Phi_{ab}.$$

In turn, from eq. (1.5a), remembering (1.5б), one gets to

$$C\nabla^{2}\Phi + B\partial^{k}\partial^{n}\Phi_{kn} = m^{2}\Phi. \tag{1.8}$$

The two relationships (1.7) and (1.8) provide us with wave equations describing the spin-2 particle in two-order formalism. Now one needs to make clear how they could refer to a corresponding Fierz-Pauli equations. With this aim in mind we will produce certain constrains on yet arbitrary parameters entering eqs. (1.5). Acting on eq. (1.7) by ∂^b, one produces

$$\frac{3}{2}CN\partial_a\nabla^2\Phi-\frac{1}{2}(NB-\frac{4}{3}E)\partial_a\partial^k\partial^n\Phi_{kn}+(NB+\frac{2}{3}E)\nabla^2\partial^k\Phi_{ka}=m^2\partial^k\Phi_{ka}. \qquad (1.9)$$

Setting

$$NB+\frac{2}{3}E=0, \qquad (1.10)$$

eq. (1.9) looks as

$$\partial_a(\frac{3}{2}CN\nabla^2\Phi-E\partial^k\partial^n\Phi_{kn})=m^2\partial^k\Phi_{ka}, \qquad (1.11a)$$

In the same time, acting on eq. (1.8) by ∂_a, one produces

$$\partial_a(C\nabla^2\Phi+B\partial^k\partial^n\Phi_{kn})=m^2\partial_a\Phi. \qquad (1.11b)$$

Let us demand that the free parameters obey

$$C=\mu^{-1}\frac{3}{2}CN, B=-\mu^{-1}E. \qquad (1.11c)$$

Then eq. (1.11b) gets to the form

$$\partial_a(\frac{3}{2}CN\ \Phi-E\partial^k\partial^n\Phi_{kn})=\mu m^2\partial_a\Phi. \qquad (1.11d)$$

Because the left-hand sides of eqs. (1.11a) and (1.11d) coincide, then

$$\partial^k\Phi_{kl}=\mu\partial_l\Phi. \qquad (1.12a)$$

Subjecting eq. (1.12a) to operation ∂^l, one produces

$$\mu\nabla^2\Phi-\partial^k\partial^l\Phi_{kl}=0. \qquad (1.12b)$$

Let us write down here eq. (1.8) in the form

$$-B(-\frac{C}{B}\nabla^2\Phi-\partial^k\partial^n\Phi_{kn})=m^2\Phi. \qquad (1.12c)$$

and let us demand that the equality $\mu=-\frac{C}{B}$. holds. Then from eqs. (1.12c) and (1.12a) it follows

$$\Phi=0. \qquad (1.13a)$$

Therefore eq.(1.12a) reads as

$$\partial^k\Phi_{ka}=0. \qquad (1.13b)$$

It remains to proof that all these equations on free parameters are consistent with each others. They all are

$$NB+\frac{2}{3}E=0, C=\mu^{-1}\frac{3}{2}CN, B=-\mu^{-1}E, \mu=-\frac{C}{B}, \qquad (1.14a)$$

and they give

$$\mu=\frac{3}{2}N, \qquad C=E=-\frac{3}{2}NB. \qquad (1.14b)$$

Taking solutions of (1.14b) in the form

$$C=E=1/2, N=1, B=-\frac{1}{3}, \qquad (1.15)$$

it is easy to make sure that the system (1.7)-(1.8) coincides with the Fierz-Pauli equations [1,2][2]

$$(\partial_a\partial_b-\frac{1}{4}g_{ab}\nabla^2)\Phi+(\nabla^2+M^2)\Phi_{ab}- \qquad (1.16a)$$

---

[2] In the following we adopt just this choice (1.15), however there are possible others. In particular, our choice differs from that accepted in [20,21]

$$-(\partial_a\partial^k\Phi_{kb}+\partial_b\partial^k\Phi_{ka}-\frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\partial^k\partial^n\Phi_{kn})=0,$$

$$(\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2+M^2)\Phi-\frac{1}{3}\partial^k\partial^n\Phi_{kn}=0. \tag{1.16b}$$

Here, $m=iM$ and M is a real-valued massive parameter.

On taking into account the relations (1.13a) and (1.13b) eqs. (1.16) give

$$(\nabla^2-M^2)\Phi_{ab}=0\ ,\quad \Phi_{ab}=\Phi_{ba}\ ,\Phi^a{}_a=0,\partial^k\Phi_{ka}=0, \tag{1.17}$$

these are equations describing a free massive spin-2 particle [1,2].

Finally, let us write down the initial first-order system (1.15), now with the fixed numerical parameters

$$\partial^a\Phi_a=m\Phi, \tag{1.18a}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_a\Phi-\frac{1}{3}\partial^b\Phi_{ab}=m\Phi_a, \tag{1.18b}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\partial^k\Phi_{kab}+\partial^k\Phi_{kba}-\frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\partial^k\Phi_{kn}{}^n\ )+\partial_a\Phi_b+\partial_b\Phi_a-\frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\partial^k\Phi_k=m\Phi_{ab}, \tag{1.18c}$$

$$\partial_a\Phi_{bc}-\partial_b\Phi_{ac}+\frac{1}{3}(g_{bc}\partial^k\Phi_{ak}-g_{ac}\partial^k\Phi_{bk})=m\Phi_{abc}. \tag{1.18d}$$

Further we will consider these equations as a basic ones to describe the massive spin-2 particle in Minkowsky space-time. In its context the Fierz-Pauli theory's status should be revised and their equations should be regarded as derivative. And just eqs. (1.18) are to be extended to general relativity case and investigated in that background.

2. Spin-2 particle in a curved space-time

To take into account the presence of an external electromagnetic field and a curved space-time background, , eqs. (1.18) are to be replaced by

$$D^\alpha\Phi_\alpha=m\Phi, \tag{2.1a}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}D_\alpha\Phi-\frac{1}{3}D^\beta\Phi_{\alpha\beta}=m\Phi_\alpha, \tag{2.1b}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(D^\rho\Phi_{\rho\alpha\beta}+D^\rho\Phi_{\rho\beta\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}(x)D^\rho\Phi_{\rho\sigma}{}^\sigma)+$$

$$+(D_\alpha\Phi_\beta+D_\beta\Phi_\alpha-\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}(x)D^\rho\Phi_\rho)=m\Phi_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{2.1c}$$

$$D_\alpha\Phi_{\beta\sigma}-D_\beta\Phi_{\alpha\sigma}+\frac{1}{3}(g_{\beta\sigma}(x)D^\rho\Phi_{\alpha\rho}-g_{\alpha\sigma}(x)D^\rho\Phi_{\beta\rho})=m\Phi_{\alpha\beta\sigma} \tag{2.1d}$$

where $D_\alpha=\nabla_\alpha+ie\ A_\alpha$; A_α is an electromagnetic 4-vector; ∇_α stands for a generally covariant derivative. Again, the system (2.1) involves the following constrains:

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta}=\Phi_{\beta\alpha},\Phi_{\alpha\beta\rho}=-\Phi_{\beta\alpha\rho},\Phi_\alpha{}^\alpha=0,\Phi^\alpha{}_{\beta\alpha}=0,\Phi_{\alpha\beta\rho}+\Phi_{\beta\rho\alpha}+\Phi_{\rho\alpha\beta}=0\ .$$

It should be stressed that such a straightforward and formal generalization of the above equations does not mean that the taking of eq. (1.18) into eq. (2.1) is trivial step without any substantial peculiarities. The things are quite to the contrary. In particular, the important question of degrees of freedom in the model appears to become much more intricate.

Now we are going to consider this problem in some detail. As a first step, let us exclude the first and third rank tensors from eq. (2.1c). To this end, manipulating with eqs. (2.1d) and (2.1b) one can produce two relations:

$$D^\rho\Phi_{\rho\alpha\beta}+D^\rho\Phi_{\rho\beta\alpha}=\frac{1}{m}[-(D^\rho D_\alpha\Phi_{\beta\rho}+D^\rho D_\beta\Phi_{\alpha\rho}-2D^\rho D_\rho\Phi_{\alpha\beta})-$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}(D_\alpha D^\sigma\Phi_{\beta\sigma}+D_\beta D^\sigma\Phi_{\alpha\sigma}-2g_{\alpha\beta}(x)D^\rho D^\sigma\Phi_{\rho\sigma})], \tag{2.2a}$$

$$D_\alpha\Phi_\beta+D_\beta\Phi_\alpha-\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}(x)D^\rho\Phi_\rho=\frac{1}{m}[\frac{1}{2}(D_\alpha D_\beta+D_\beta D_\alpha-\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}D^\rho D_\rho)\Phi-$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}(D_\alpha D^\rho \Phi_{\beta\rho} + D_\beta D^\rho \Phi_{\alpha\rho} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\rho D^\sigma \Phi_{\rho\sigma})]. \qquad (2.2b)$$

With the use of (2.2), eq. (2.1c) can be brought to the form

$$-\frac{1}{2}(D^\rho D_\alpha \Phi_{\rho\beta} + D^\rho D_\beta \Phi_{\rho\alpha}) + D^\rho D_\rho \Phi_{\alpha\beta} +$$

$$-\frac{1}{6}(D_\alpha D^\sigma \Phi_{\beta\sigma} + D_\beta D^\sigma \Phi_{\alpha\sigma}) + \frac{1}{3} g_{\alpha\beta} D^\rho D^\sigma \Phi_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}(D_\alpha D_\beta + D_\beta D_\alpha)\Phi - \frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\rho D_\rho \Phi -$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}(D_\alpha D^\rho \Phi_{\beta\rho} + D_\beta D^\rho \Phi_{\alpha\rho}) + \frac{1}{6} g_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\rho D^\sigma \Phi_{\rho\sigma} = m^2 \Phi_{\alpha\beta},$$

from which, on elementary calculating one gets to

$$-\frac{1}{2}(D^\rho D_\alpha \Phi_{\rho\beta} + D^\rho D_\beta \Phi_{\rho\alpha}) - \frac{1}{2}(D_\alpha D^\rho \Phi_{\beta\rho} + D_\beta D^\rho \Phi_{\alpha\rho}) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\rho D^\sigma \Phi_{\rho\sigma} + D^\rho D_\rho \Phi_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}(D_\alpha D_\beta + D_\beta D_\alpha)\Phi - \frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\rho D_\rho \Phi = m^2 \Phi_{\alpha\beta}. \qquad (2.3)$$

The first expression in (2.3) is readily taken to the form

$$-\frac{1}{2}(D^\rho D_\alpha \Phi_{\rho\beta} + D^\rho D_\beta \Phi_{\rho\alpha}) =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}(D_\alpha D^\rho \Phi_{\beta\rho} + D_\beta D^\rho \Phi_{\alpha\rho}) - \frac{1}{2}([D^\rho, D_\alpha]_- \Phi_{\rho\beta} + [D^\rho, D_\beta]_- \Phi_{\rho\alpha}).$$

Correspondingly, eq. (2.3) will look as

$$-D_\alpha D^\rho \Phi_{\beta\rho} - D_\beta D^\rho \Phi_{\alpha\rho} - \frac{1}{2}([D^\rho, D_\alpha]_- \Phi_{\rho\beta} + [D^\rho, D_\beta]_- \Phi_{\rho\alpha}) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} D^\rho D^\sigma \Phi_{\rho\sigma} + D^\rho D_\rho \Phi_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}(D_\alpha D_\beta + D_\beta D_\alpha)\Phi - \frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\rho D_\rho \Phi = m^2 \Phi_{\alpha\beta}. \qquad (2.4a)$$

In turn, excluding with the help of (2.1b)the vector field from eq. (5.1a), one can produce

$$\frac{1}{2} D^\rho D_\rho \Phi - \frac{1}{3} D^\rho D^\sigma \Phi_{\rho\sigma} = m^2 \Phi \; . \qquad (2.4b)$$

These (2.4a) and (2.4b) provides with generalizations of eqs. (1.16a,b). Formally, eqs. (2.4) differ from eqs. (1.16) in changing $\partial_b \Longrightarrow D_\beta$ and in appearance additional terms owing to the $[..., ...]_-$-commutator construction.

Although eqs. (2.4) are rather complicated, they exhibit some interesting properties. To bring them out we need perform some special manipulation. Let us act on eq. (2.4a) by D^β-operator:

$$-D^\beta D_\alpha D^\rho \Phi_{\beta\rho} - D^\beta D_\beta D^\rho \Phi_{\alpha\rho} - \frac{1}{2} D^\beta([D^\rho, D_\alpha]_- \Phi_{rho\beta} + [D^\rho, D_\beta]_- \Phi_{\rho\alpha}) + \qquad (2.5a)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2} D_\alpha D^\rho D^\sigma \Phi_{\rho\sigma} + D^\beta D^\rho D_\rho \Phi_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} D^\beta D_\alpha D_\beta \Phi + \frac{1}{2} D^\beta D_\beta D_\alpha \Phi - \frac{1}{4} D_\alpha D^\rho D_\rho \Phi = m^2 D^\beta \Phi_{\alpha\beta},$$

which can be led to the form

$$-[D^\beta, D_\alpha]_- D^\rho \Phi_{\beta\rho} - D_\alpha D^\beta D^\rho \Phi_{\beta\rho} - D^\beta D_\beta D^\rho \Phi_{\alpha\rho} -$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} D^\beta([D^\rho, D_\alpha]_- \Phi_{\rho\beta} + [D^\rho, D_\beta]_- \Phi_{\rho\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2} D_\alpha D^\rho D^\sigma \Phi_{\rho\sigma} + D^\beta D^\rho D_\rho \Phi_{\alpha\beta} +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}[D^\beta, D_\alpha]_- D_\beta \Phi + \frac{1}{2} D_\alpha D^\beta D_\beta \Phi + \frac{1}{2} D_\alpha D^\beta D_\beta \Phi + \frac{1}{2}[D^\beta D_\beta, D_\alpha]_- \Phi -$$

$$-\frac{1}{4} D_\alpha D^\beta D_\beta \Phi = m^2 D^\beta \Phi_{\alpha\beta}. \qquad (2.5b)$$

From (2.5a) after evident simplification it follows

$$m^2 D^\beta \Phi_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} D_\alpha (\frac{3}{2} D^\rho D_\rho \Phi - D^\beta D^\rho \Phi_{\beta\rho}) + \Delta_\alpha, \qquad (2.6)$$

with the notation

$$\Delta_\alpha = -[D^\beta, D_\beta]_- D^\rho \Phi_{\beta\rho} + [D^\beta, D^\rho D_\rho]_- \Phi_{\alpha\beta} -$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} D^\beta ([D^\rho, D_\alpha]_- \Phi_{\rho\beta} + [D^\rho, D_\beta]_- \Phi_{\rho\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2}[D^\beta, D_\alpha]_- D_\beta \Phi + \frac{1}{2}[D^\beta D_\beta, D_\alpha]_- \Phi. \qquad (2.7)$$

Now let us act on eq. (2.4b)by 3 D_α-operator:

$$D_\alpha (\frac{3}{2} D^\rho D_\rho \Phi - D^\rho D^\sigma \Phi_{\rho\sigma}) = 3m^2 D_\alpha \Phi. \qquad (2.8)$$

Taking into account eq. (2.8) in eq. (2.6), the latter is brought to the form

$$m^2 D^\beta \Phi_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{3}{2} m^2 D_\alpha \Phi + \Delta_\alpha, \text{ or } m^2 (\frac{3}{2} D_\alpha \Phi - D^\beta \Phi_{\alpha\beta}) = -\Delta_\alpha. \qquad (2.9)$$

Now acting on eq. (2.0) by operator $\frac{1}{3}$ D^α, we will have

$$m^2 (-\frac{1}{3} D^\alpha D^\beta \Phi_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} D^\alpha D_\alpha \Phi) = -\frac{1}{3} D^\alpha \Delta_\alpha,$$

from where having remembered eq. (2.4b) we arrive at

$$m^4 \Phi = -\frac{1}{3} \partial^\alpha \Delta_\alpha. \qquad (2.10)$$

In a free case, the vector Δ_α vanishes identically, therefore from (2.10), (2.9) it follows $\Delta_a = 0, \Phi = 0, \partial^b \Phi_{ab} = 0$. As emphasized above, these two constrains enable us to simplify noticeably the starting wave equations (1.18): in fact reducing it to the form (1.18).

The fact of simplicity of the final equation (1.17) is significantly valued, especially at finding its solutions, but from the formal viewpoint much more important and substantial matter is that existing constrains

$$\Phi = 0, \Phi^a_a = 0, \partial^b \Phi_{ab} = 0 \qquad (2.11)$$

can be regarded as just those conditions which will rule out all subsidiary components in the set Φ_{ab}, Φ. Correspondingly, only five remaining constituents should be regarded as responsible for description of five degrees of freedom $2s + 1 = 5$ of the $s = 2$ particle.

In this line of arguments the generalized constrains on tensors $\Phi, \Phi_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\Phi^\alpha_\alpha = 0, \qquad m^4 \Phi = -\frac{1}{3} D^\alpha \Delta_\alpha, \qquad m^2 D^\beta \Phi_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{3}{2} m^2 D_\alpha \Phi + \Delta_\alpha, \qquad (2.12)$$

make the same work as relations (2.11): they exclude subsidiary field constituents.

Thus, the extended theory of spin-2 particle in presence of external curved space-time background looks satisfactory in the line of arguments of degrees of freedom.

References

1. Pauli W., Fierz M. Uber relativistische Feldgleichungen von Teilchen mit beliebigem Spin im electromagnetishen Feld. Helv. Phys. Acta. 1939, Bd. 12, S. 297-300.
2. Fierz V., Pauli W. On relativistic wave equations for particles of arbitrary spin in an electromagnetic field. Proc. Roy. Soc. London. 1939, Vol. A173, P. 211-232.
3. Federbush P. Nuovo Cimento. 1961. Vol. 19. P.572.
4. Rivers R.J. Nuovo Cimento. 1964. Vol. 34. P. 386.
5. Nath L.M. Nucl. Phys. 1965. Vol. 68. P. 600.
6. Bhargava S.C., Watanabe H. Nucl. Phys. 1966. Vol. 87. P. 273.
7. Tait W. Phys. Rev. D. 1972. Vol. 12. P. 3272.
8. Reilly J.F. Nucl. Phys. B. 1974. Vol. 70. P. 356.
9. Johnson K., Sudarshan E.C.G. Ann. Phys. NY. 1961. Vol. 85. P. 126.
10. Velo G., Zwanzinger D. Phys. Rev. 1969a. Vol. 186. P. 1337.
11. Velo G. Nucl. Phys. B. 1972. vol. 43. P. 389.
12. 12. Nagpal A.K. Lett. Nuovo Cimento. 1973. Vol. Vol. 8. P. 353.
13. Gelfand I.M., Yaglom A.M. JETP. 1948. Vol. 1948. P. 703-733.

14. Fedorov F.I. To the theory of a particle with spin 2 (in Russian). Uchenye Zapiski BGU. Ser. fiz.-mat. . 1951. No 12. P. 156-173.
15. Regge T. Nuovo Cimento. 1957. Vol. 5. P. 325.
16. Schwinger S. Phys. Rev. 1963. Vol. 130. P. 800.
17. Chang S.J. Phys. Rev. 1966. Vol. 148. P. 1259.
18. Hagen C.R. Phys. Rew. D. 1972. Vol. 6. P. 984.
19. Mattews P.M. et al. J. Math. Phys. 1980. Vol. 21. P. 1495.
20. Cox W. First-order formulation of massive spin-2 field theories. J. Phys. A.: Math. Gen. 1982. Vol 15. P. 253- 268.
21. Krylov B.V., Fedorov F.I. First order equation for a graviton (in Russian). DAN SSSR. 1967. Vol. 11. No 8. P. 681-684.
22. Bogus A.A., Krylov B.V., Fedorov F.I. On matices of equation for a spin 2 particle (in Russian). Vesti AN BSSR. Ser. fiz.-mat. 1968. No 1. P. 74-81.
23. Krylov B.V. On equations of first order for a graviton (in Russsian). Vesti AN BSSR. Ser. fiz.-mat. 1972. No 6. P. 82-89.
24. Kisel V.V., On relativistic wave equations for a massive particle with spin 2 (in Russian). Vesti AN BSSR. Ser. fiz.-mat. 1986. No 5. P. 94-99.
25. Bogush A.A., Kisel V.V. On description of the anomalous magnetic momentum of a massive particle with spin 2 in the theory of relativistic wave equation (in Russian). Izvestia Vuzov. Fizika. . 1988. No 3. P. 11-16.
26. Adler D. Canad. J. Phys. 1966. 1966. Vol. 44. P. 289.
27. Deser S., Trubatch J., Trubatch S. Canad. J. Phys. 1966. Vol. 44. Vol. 1715.
28. Fedorov F.I. First order equations for a graviton (in Russian). DAN SSSR. Mat.-Fiz. 1968. Vol. 179. No 4. P. 802-805.